

PLANNING APPLICATIONS

(Executive action taken by Councillor Cooper & the Town Clerk
in returning comments to Central Beds Council's Planning department)

Comments sent to CBC 14th May 2020

- A** [CB/20/00832/FULL](#) comments due 28/05/20
100 – 102 Norton Road, Stotfold, SG5 4PG
Storage building for building supplies
Town Council comments:
No objections, with the suggestion that suitable off-road parking for the proposed additional employees' vehicles be provided on site in addition to that at the front of the existing buildings
- B** [CB/20/01368/FULL](#) comments due 29/05/20
29 Coppice Mead, Stotfold, SG5 4JY
Removal of existing single storey accommodation and existing detached garage and replace with 2 storey side extension incorporating new garage
Town Council comments:
No objections, with the suggestion that the first-floor window on the southern side (w/c - shower room) should be fitted with obscured glass and have restricted opening to preserve the privacy of residents at No. 31 Hazel Grove which would be overlooked
- C** [CB/20/01397/FULL](#) comments due 29/05/20
29 Coppice Mead, Stotfold, SG5 4JY
Extension and alterations to existing house with new detached 2 bed house to the side
Town Council comments:
Object on the grounds of overdevelopment on a triangular and restricted site with the loss of off-road garage parking to the existing property No. 29 while increasing parking requirements for the combined two properties (5 bedrooms plus 1-2 in loft space of No. 29). The proximity of this new building of two storey height will have an overbearing effect on the amenity of the residents at No. 31 Hazel Grove. Provision of cycle and bin stores for both properties would appear to be rather distant from the roadway and quite impractical for regular use of the occupiers.
- D** [CB/20/01401/FULL](#) comments due 29/05/20
120 Hitchin Road, Stotfold, SG5 4HT
Construction of a single storey one bed unit in existing rear garden
Town Council comments:
No objections, noting that the proposed parking area / position for one single vehicle and its access gates would appear to be poorly designed for ease of use on entering or leaving the property and may be improved by entering to the rear of the building